Programming Abstractions Week 11-1: MiniScheme F and G, lambdas and set!

Stephen Checkoway

Announcement

Homework 7 is now up on the website

- It's due on August 18

Use the same groups as before (this time, they should be created already)

Review: How do we parse an application like (+ 2 3)?

- A. (app-exp + 2 3)
- B. (app-exp + (2 3))
- C. '(app-exp (var-exp +) (lit-exp 2) (lit-exp 3))
- D. '(app-exp (var-exp +) ((lit-exp 2) (lit-exp 3)))
- E. None of the above

At a higher-level of detail

Applications are parsed into two parts The expression for the procedure part

- The list of parsed arguments

Evaluating an app-exp

Evaluating an app-exp

How do we evaluate the app-exp we get from (app-exp parsed-proc list-of-parsed-args)?

Evaluating an app-exp

How do we evaluate the app-exp we get from (app-exp parsed-proc list-of-parsed-args)?

In steps

- We evaluate the parsed-proc and the list-of-parsed-args in the current environment
- Then we call apply-proc with the evaluated procedure and list of arguments

MiniScheme F: Lambdas

 $EXP \rightarrow \text{number}$ | symbol | (if EXP EXP EXP) | (let (LET-BINDINGS) EXP) | (lambda (PARAMS) EXP) $| (EXP EXP^*)$ $LET-BINDINGS \rightarrow LET-BINDING^*$ $LET-BINDING \rightarrow [\text{ symbol } EXP]^*$ $PARAMS \rightarrow \text{ symbol}^*$

parse into lit-exp
parse into var-exp
parse into ite-exp
parse into let-exp
parse into let-exp
parse into lambda-exp
parse into app-exp

Implementing lambdas Parsing

lambda-exp structure

This needs

- The parameter list, e.g., '(x y z)
- the parsed body

Note that the parameter list is not parsed, it's just a list of symbols

Parse a lambda expression such as (lambda (x y z) body) into a new

Implementing lambdas **Evaluating**

What should a lambda – exp evaluate to?

In other words, what is the result of evaluating something like (lambda (x) (+ x y))?

Closures!

We need a closure data type

- (closure parameter-list body environment)
- (closure? obj)
- (closure-params c)
- (closure-body c)
- (closure-env c)

The parameter-list and the body come from the lambda-exp

The environment is the current environment argument to eval-exp

Where should the new closure data type be defined? Why?

- A. parse.rkt
- B. interp.rkt
- C. In the same file as prim-proc
- D. A and C
- E. B and C

10

To recapitulate

To parse a lambda

Make a new lambda-exp object to hold parameters and body

To evaluate a lambda

• Make a new closure object to hold the parameters, body, and environment Nothing new is needed for parsing calls to lambda expressions; why?

(let ([f (lambda (x) (+ x y))])(f (- a b)))

Evaluating calls to closures

- Recall: All applications are evaluated by calling apply-proc with the evaluated procedure and the list of evaluated arguments
- Here's what our apply-proc looks like after homework 6
- (define (apply-proc proc args) (cond [(prim-proc? proc) (apply-primitive-op (prim-proc-op proc) args)] [else (error 'apply-proc "bad procedure: ~s" proc)]))



Evaluating calls to closures

We need to add some code before the else

(define (apply-proc proc args) (cond [(prim-proc? proc) [(closure? proc) ...]

- (apply-primitive-op (prim-proc-op proc) args)]
- [else (error 'apply-proc "bad procedure: ~s" proc)]))



At a high level (don't think about MiniScheme here), given a closure and some arguments, how do we evaluate calling the closure?

arguments, how do we evaluate calling the closure?

Steps

- Extend the closure's environment with bindings from the closure's parameters to argument values
- Evaluate the body of the closure in this extended environment

At a high level (don't think about MiniScheme here), given a closure and some

arguments, how do we evaluate calling the closure?

Steps

- Extend the closure's environment with bindings from the closure's parameters to argument values
- Evaluate the body of the closure in this extended environment

proc, there is something wrong; you don't need to do that

At a high level (don't think about MiniScheme here), given a closure and some

If you find yourself wanting to pass the environment from eval-exp to apply-

Parsing

Parse into an (app-exp proc args)

'(app-exp (lambda-exp (x y)

((lit-exp 3))(lit-exp 5))

Example: ((lambda (x y) (+ x y)) 3 5)

(app-exp (var-exp +) ((var-exp x) (var-exp y))))

Evaluating

'(app-exp (lambda-exp (x y)

((lit-exp 3) (lit-exp 5)))

This is evaluated in the current environment e by calling apply-proc with the evaluated procedure and evaluated arguments

The procedure evaluates to '(closure (x y) (app-exp (var-exp ((var-exp e) The arguments evaluate to '(3 5)

Example: ((lambda (x y) (+ x y)) 3 5)

(app-exp (var-exp +) ((var-exp x) (var-exp y)))

Evaluating

apply-proc will evaluate the closure '(closure (x y) (app-exp (var-exp +)

e)

by calling eval-exp on the body in the environment $e[x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 5]$

proc +) and the arguments to get '(3 5)

Example: ((lambda (x y) (+ x y)) 3 5)

- ((var-exp x) (var-exp y)))
- Since the body is an app-exp, it'll evaluate '(var-exp +) to get '(prim-

Example 2Parsing

Example 2 Parsing

What is the result of parsing this? (let ([f (lambda (x) (* 2 x))]) (f 6))

Example 2 Parsing

What is the result of parsing this? (let ([f (lambda (x) (* 2 x))]) (f 6))

(app-exp (var-exp f) ((lit-exp 6)))

-exp (var-exp *) ((lit-exp 2) (var-exp x))))



Example 2 Evaluating

- '(let-exp (f) ((lambda-exp (x) app-
 - (app-exp (var-exp ((lit-exp
- the closure we get by evaluating the lambda-exp in environment e: '(closure (x) e)

Evaluate the let-exp by extending the current environment e with f bound to

app-exp (var-exp *) ((lit-exp 2) (var-exp x)))



Example 2 Evaluating

With f bound to '(closure (x) (app-exp (var-exp *) ((lit-exp 2) (var-exp x))) e we next evaluate the body of the let '(app-exp (var-exp f) ((lit-exp 6)))

This will evaluate '(var-exp f), getting the closure above and evaluate the arguments getting '(6)

environment $e[x \mapsto 6]$

This is another application expression and the process continues

apply-proc will call eval-exp on the body of the closure and the extended

set! expressions



MiniScheme G: set! and begin

 $EXP \rightarrow number$ symbol (if EXP EXP EXP) (let (LET-BINDINGS) EXP) (lambda (PARAMS) EXP) (set! symbol *EXP*) (begin EXP*) $| (EXP EXP^*)$ LET-BINDINGS \rightarrow LET-BINDING* $LET-BINDING \rightarrow [symbol EXP]*$ $PARAMS \rightarrow symbol^*$

parse into lit-exp parse into var-exp parse into ite-exp parse into let-exp parse into lambda-exp parse into set-exp parse into begin-exp parse into app-exp

What is the value of (let ([x 10]) (+ x (let ([x 20]) X) x)) This is the sum of 3 numbers

- A. 30
- B. 40
- C. 50
- D. 60

23

What is the value of (let ([x 10]) (+ x (begin (set! x 20) X) x)) This is the sum of 3 numbers A. 30 B. 40 C. 50 D. 60

24

Assignments

Assignment expressions are different in nature than the functional parts of MiniScheme

The set! expression introduces mutable state into our language

We're going to use a Scheme box to model this state

Boxes in Scheme

box is a data type that holds a mutable value

- Constructor: (box val)
- Recognizer: (box? obj)
- Getter: (unbox b)
- Setter: (set-box! b val)

Example usage

- We can create a box holding the value 275 with (define b (box 275))
- We can get the value in the box with (unbox b)
- We can change the value in the box with (set-box! b 572)
- If we use (unbox b) afterward, it'll return 572
- This models the way variables work in non-functional languages

Implementing set!

To implement set! in MiniScheme

- unbox the result, and return it
- the environment to get its box and then set the value using set-box!

We can do this in four simple steps

Change the environment so that everything in the environment is in a box When we evaluate a var-exp, we'll lookup the variable in the environment,

When we evaluate a set expression such as (set! x 23), we'll lookup x in

We need to box every value in the environment

Two ways to do this (and I'm quoting Bob here)

environment, you can replace each call (env syms vals old-env) with

(env syms (map box vals) old-env)

here], you might prefer to change the definition of env to do (list 'env sims (map box vals) old-env)

If you are young and cocky and sure you can find every place you extend the

If you have 68 years of experience with screwing up [I'm still quoting Bob]

Do not change your env-lookup procedure

Do change the line in eval-exp that evaluates var-exp expressions to

boxes!

- [(var-exp? tree) (unbox (env-lookup e (var-exp-sym tree))]
- At this point, the interpreter should work exactly as it did before you introduced

Set expressions have the form (set! sym exp)

You need a new data type for these, I used set-exp

into the set-exp and the parsed expression

- When parsing, put the unparsed symbol (i.e., 'x rather than (var-exp 'x))

Inside eval-exp, you'll need some code

- [(set-exp? tree)
 - (set-box! (env-lookup ...)
 - (eval-exp ...))]

Let's make set! useful!

MiniScheme now has set! but it isn't of much use until we can execute a sequence of expressions like (let ([x 0]) (begin (set! x 23) (+ x 5)))

expressions only have a single expression as a body

In Racket, we don't need the begin, but we do in MiniScheme because our let

Parsing a begin expression (begin expl exp2 ... expn)

You need a new data type to hold these

- Since begin creates a sequence of expressions, I called mine seq-exp but begin-exp is also a good name (and visually distinct from set-exp)

Evaluating a begin expression (begin expl expl ... expn)

Evaluate each expression in turn, returning the final one You can create a helper function to do that, or you can use our old friend:

- foldl
- My code looks something like (foldl (λ (exp acc) (eval-exp exp e)) (void) ...)
- (void) returns, well, a void value which does nothing